

## THE CONSTRUCTION OF ALL LOCALLY COMPACT EXTENSIONS

Valerii K. ZAHAROV

*Mathematics Department, Leningrad Institute of Textile and Light Industry, Leningrad, USSR*

Received 19 November 1979

The paper presents one of the ways to construct all the locally compact extensions of a given Tychonoff space  $T$ . First, there proved the "local" variant of the Stone-Čech theorem on "completely regular" Riesz spaces  $X(T)$  of continuous bounded functions on  $T$  with no unit function, in general, but with a collection of local units. In Theorem 1 it is proved that all the functions from  $X(T)$  can be "completely regularly" extended on the largest locally compact extension  $\beta_X T$ . Theorem 3 states, that  $\beta_X T$  are presenting, in fact, all the locally compact extensions of  $T$ .

AMS Subj. Class.: Primary 54C20, 54D35, 54D45; Secondary 46E05, 54C40	
Tychonoff space	Riesz space of continuous functions
completely regular extensions	locally compact extensions

### 0. Introduction

The paper presents one of the ways to construct all locally compact extensions of a given Tychonoff space  $T$ . First, we prove the local variant of the Stone-Čech theorem on "completely regular" Riesz spaces  $X(T)$  of continuous bounded functions on  $T$  with no unit function, in general, but with a collection of local units. In Theorem 1, we prove that all the functions from  $X(T)$  can be "completely regularly" extended on the largest locally compact extension  $\beta_X T$ . Theorem 3 states, that  $\beta_X T$  give, in fact, all locally compact extensions of  $T$ . After that, the spaces  $X(T)$ , producing all the locally compact paracompact extensions of  $T$ , are considered (Theorem 4). And finally the criterion for locally compact paracompact "approximation" of  $T$  is established (Theorem 5). Theorems 4 and 5 give answers to questions put to the author by Prof. Archangel'skiĭ, to whom the author is very thankful.

In the paper the terms of [1] are used, and some facts from [2] will be essential for the beginning of the paper.

# 1. The local variant of the Stone-Čech theorem

Let us consider the Riesz space (i.e. vector lattice)  $C_b(T)$  of all bounded continuous functions of the Tychonoff space  $T$ . A Riesz subspace (i.e. vector subspace and sublattice)  $X(T)$  of  $C_b(T)$  is called a *completely regular* Riesz space of continuous functions on  $T$  if for any closed  $F$  and  $t \notin F$  there exists  $x \in X(T)$ , such that  $x(F) = 0$  and  $x(U_t) = 1$  for some neighborhood  $U_t$  of the point  $t$ . Further  $X(T)$  will always denote a completely regular Riesz space of continuous functions on  $T$ .

Let us denote  $Dx = \{t \in T \mid x(t) \neq 0\}$  for  $x \in X(T)$ . The collection  $\{a_\alpha, e_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$  of positive functions in  $X(T)$  is called a *unit family* on  $T$  iff  $\bigcup \{Da_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\} = T$  and  $e_\alpha(Da_\alpha) = \{1\}$ .

A locally compact space  $S$  is said to provide the *completely regular* extension of  $X(T)$  iff:

- (a)  $T$  is a dense subspace of  $S$ ;
- (b) any function  $x \in X(T)$  may be extended to a function  $x' \in C_b(S)$ ;
- (c) the Riesz space  $X(S) = \{x' \mid x \in X(T)\}$  is completely regular on  $S$ .

If  $\{a_\alpha, e_\alpha\}$  is a unit family in  $X(T)$ , then  $S$  is said to provide the *completely regular* extension of  $X(T)$ , preserving the unit family  $\{a_\alpha, e_\alpha\}$  iff  $S$  satisfies conditions (a)–(c) and condition

- (d)  $\{a'_\alpha, e'_\alpha\}$  is a unit family on  $S$ .

We recall that a vector subspace  $Y$  of a Riesz space  $X$  is called an *ideal* in  $X$  iff  $x \in X$ ,  $y \in Y$  and  $|x| \leq |y|$  implies  $x \in Y$ . Let us consider the  $\mathcal{M}_\alpha^0$  (for any  $\alpha$ ) of all ideals, maximal among those, not containing the element  $e_\alpha$ . According to Theorem 33.4 in [2] each ideal in  $\mathcal{M}_\alpha^0$  is prime. Taking all sets  $S_\alpha^0 x = \{P \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha^0 \mid x \notin P\}$  as a base of open sets, we make  $\mathcal{M}_\alpha^0$  a topological space. By Theorem 36.4 in [2] the space  $\mathcal{M}_\alpha^0$  is compact. Consider the locally compact subspace  $\mathcal{M}_\alpha = S_\alpha^0 a_\alpha$ ; sets  $S_\alpha x = \{P \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha \mid x \notin P\}$  are the base of open sets in  $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ . The space

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(X(T), \{a_\alpha, e_\alpha\}) = \bigcup_\alpha \mathcal{M}_\alpha$$

is a topological space with the Stone topology if  $Sx = \{P \in \mathcal{M} \mid x \notin P\}$  form the base of open sets in  $\mathcal{M}$ . And since  $\mathcal{M}_\alpha \cap Sx = S_\alpha x$ , the space  $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$  is a subspace of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Besides the sets  $Nx = \{P \in \mathcal{M} \mid x \in P\}$  form the base of closed sets in  $\mathcal{M}$ . By the definition of topology for any  $P \in \mathcal{M}$  and any closed set  $F$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ , such that  $P$  is not in  $F$ , there exists  $x \in X$ , for which  $P \in Sx$  and  $F \subset Nx$ .

It is easy to get, that if  $P \in \mathcal{M}_\beta$  and  $a_\alpha \notin P$ , then  $P \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha$ . Theorem 36.3 in [2] implies that  $\mathcal{M}$  is Hausdorff. Since  $Sa_\alpha = \mathcal{M}_\alpha$ , the space  $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$  is an open subspace of  $\mathcal{M}$ . This means that  $\mathcal{M}$  is locally compact since the  $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$  are locally compact. Using the proof of Theorem 46.1 in [2], we get  $\bigcap \{P \mid P \in \mathcal{M}\} = \{0\}$ . Consider the quotient Riesz space  $X/P$  with respect to the ideal  $P \in \mathcal{M}$ . Let  $x \bmod P$  denote the image of  $x$  in  $X/P$ ; let  $\langle e_\alpha \bmod P \rangle$  denote the ideal in  $X/P$ , consisting of  $x \bmod P$ , for which there is  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|x| \bmod P \leq m e_\alpha \bmod P$ . The Riesz space  $\langle e_\alpha \bmod P \rangle$  is Archimedean, that is if  $x \bmod P$  and  $y \bmod P$  are in  $\langle e_\alpha \bmod P \rangle$  and  $0 \leq n x \bmod P \leq y \bmod P$  for any

$n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $x \bmod P = 0$ . Thus for any  $P \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha$  and any closed  $F$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ , which does not contain  $P$ , there is  $x \in X$  such that  $x \bmod P = e_\alpha \bmod P$  and  $F \subset N_x$ .

Any  $0 \leq x \in X$  and  $P \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha$  are put in correspondence with

$$\tilde{x}(P) = \inf\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \bmod P \leq \lambda e_\alpha \bmod P\}.$$

And if  $P \in \mathcal{M}_\beta$ , then  $e_\alpha \bmod P = e_\beta \bmod P$  and therefore  $\tilde{x}(P)$  does not depend on the index  $\alpha$ . So, the mapping  $\tilde{x}$  from  $\mathcal{M}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  is established: if  $x \in X$ , then  $x_+ \equiv x \vee 0$  and  $x_- \equiv (-x) \vee 0$ , so  $\tilde{x} \equiv \tilde{x}_+ - \tilde{x}_-$ .

Using the arguments similar to those in Theorems 44.3 and 44.2 of [2] it is possible to see that the mapping  $i$ , which corresponds  $x$  to the function  $\tilde{x}$ , is an injective Riesz homomorphism from  $X$  into  $C_b(\mathcal{M})$  i.e.  $i(x+y) = ix + iy$ ,  $i\lambda x = \lambda ix$  and  $i(x \vee y) = (ix) \vee (iy)$ .

Further we will denote  $\mathcal{M}(X(T), \{a_\alpha, e_\alpha\})$  by  $\beta(T, X(T), \{a_\alpha, e_\alpha\})$ .

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $X(T)$  be a completely regular Riesz space of continuous functions on  $T$  and  $\{a_\alpha, e_\alpha\}$  be a unit family in  $X(T)$ . Then:*

(a) *The locally compact space  $\beta(T, X(T), \{a_\alpha, e_\alpha\})$  provides the completely regular extension of  $X(T)$ , preserving the unit family  $\{a_\alpha, e_\alpha\}$ .*

(b)  *$(T, X(T), \{a_\alpha, e_\alpha\})$  is the largest locally compact space, which provides the completely regular extension of  $X(T)$ , preserving the unit family  $\{a_\alpha, e_\alpha\}$ , i.e. if  $S$  is a locally compact, providing such an extension then there exists the injective continuous mapping  $\sigma$  from  $S$  into  $\beta(T, X(T), \{a_\alpha, e_\alpha\})$ , which is the identity on  $T$ .*

**Proof.** Check, that  $T \subset \mathcal{M}$ . For that consider the ideal  $M_t \equiv \{x \in X(T) \mid x(t) = 0\}$  in  $X$  for  $t \in T$ . Let us define the injective mapping  $\varphi: T \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ , assuming  $\varphi(t) \equiv M_t$ . If  $V$  is an open set in  $\mathcal{M}$ , i.e.  $V \equiv \bigcup_\nu Sx_\nu$ , then  $\varphi^{-1}(V) = \bigcup_\nu Dx_\nu$  is open in  $T$ . Therefore,  $\varphi$  is continuous. Now consider the subspace  $T_0 \equiv \{M_t \mid t \in T\}$ . Then  $\varphi$  is a homeomorphism from  $T$  onto  $T_0$ . Further, we consider  $T$  and  $T_0$  as identical.

It is easy to check, that  $(ix)(\varphi(t)) = x(t)$ . So, the function  $\tilde{x} \equiv ix$  is the extension of the function  $x \in X(T)$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Let us verify, that  $T_0$  is dense in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Let  $P \subset \mathcal{M}$  and  $P \in Sx \neq \emptyset$ . If  $Sx \cap T_0 = \emptyset$ , then  $(ix)(\varphi(t)) = 0$  for any  $\varphi(t) \in T_0$ . It implies  $x(t) = 0$  for any  $t \in T$  and  $x = 0$ , which is not true. Therefore  $iX \equiv \tilde{X}$  is a completely regular extension of  $X(T)$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ . The statement (a) is proved.

Let  $S$  provide a completely regular extension of  $X(T)$  to  $X(S)$ , preserving the unit family, i.e. there exists Riesz isomorphism  $k: X(T) \rightarrow X(S)$ , such that  $k(x)|_T = x$ . Let us consider the ideal

$$M_s \equiv \{k(x) \in X(S) \mid k(x)(s) = 0\} \quad \text{for } s \in S.$$

The ideal  $k^{-1}(M_s)$  is in  $\mathcal{M}(X(T), \{a_\alpha, e_\alpha\})$ . Therefore it is possible to define the mapping  $\sigma$  from  $S$  into  $\beta(T, X(T), \{a_\alpha, e_\alpha\})$ , assuming  $\sigma(s) \equiv k^{-1}(M_s)$ . One can verify that  $\sigma$  is an injective and continuous mapping, which is the identity on  $T$ .

**Remark.** Let locally compact spaces  $S$  and  $R$  be completely regular extensions of

$X(T)$  and let there exist a continuous injective mapping  $\sigma$  from  $S$  into  $R$ , which is the identity on  $T$ . Then  $x' \circ \sigma = x''$ , where  $x'$  and  $x''$  denote the extensions of  $x \in X(T)$  on  $S$  and  $R$  respectively. Besides,  $\sigma$  is the homeomorphism from  $S$  onto subspace  $\sigma(S)$  of  $R$ .

Let us consider the unit family  $\{a_\alpha, e_\alpha\}_{\max}$ , containing all the pairs  $(a_\alpha, e_\alpha) \in X(T)$  such that  $e_\alpha(Da_\alpha) = \{1\}$ . Let us denote  $\beta_X T = \beta(T, X(T), \{a_\alpha, e_\alpha\}_{\max})$ . Using Proposition 1 we will prove

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $X(T)$  be a completely regular Riesz space of continuous functions on a Tychonoff space  $T$ . Then:*

- (a) *The locally compact space  $\beta_X T$  provides a completely regular extension of  $X(T)$ .*
- (b)  *$\beta_X T$  is the largest locally compact space among all the locally compact spaces, providing the completely regular extension of  $X(T)$ , i.e. if  $S$  is a locally compact space, providing such an extension, then there exists an injective continuous mapping  $\sigma$  from  $S$  into  $\beta_X T$ , which is the identity on  $T$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $S$  be a completely regular extension of  $X(T)$ , i.e. there exists a Riesz isomorphism  $k: X(T) \rightarrow X(S)$ , such that  $k(x)|_T = x$ . Let us consider a pair of functions  $e'_s$  and  $a'_s$  from  $X(S)$  (for any  $s \in S$ ) such that  $s \in Da'_s$  and  $e'_s(Da'_s) = \{1\}$ . Then  $\{a'_s, e'_s | s \in S\}$  is a unit family in  $X(S)$  and  $\{a_s, e_s | s \in S\}$  is a unit family in  $X(T)$ . Therefore  $\{a_s, e_s\} \subset \{a_\alpha, e_\alpha\}_{\max}$ . Considering  $M_s = \{x' \in X(S) | x'(s) = 0\}$  we get

$$k^{-1}(M_s) \in \mathfrak{M}(X(T), \{a_s, e_s\}) \subset \mathfrak{M}(X(T), \{a_\alpha, e_\alpha\}_{\max}).$$

Let us define the mapping  $\sigma$  from  $S$  into  $\beta_X T$  in the following way:  $\sigma(s) = K^{-1}(M_s)$ . It is easy to check that  $\sigma$  is an injective continuous mapping which is the identity on  $T$ .

**Corollary 1.** *If  $X(T)$  contains a unit function, then  $\beta_X T$  is a compactification of  $T$ .*

**Corollary 2.** *If  $X(T) = C_b(T)$ , then  $\beta_X T$  is the usual Stone-Čech compactification  $\beta T$  of the space  $T$ .*

## 2. Extension of $X(T)$ to the functions with compact supports

Let  $C_c(S)$  denote the Riesz space of all continuous functions on  $S$  with compact supports. Using Theorem 1 we prove

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $X(T)$  be a completely regular Riesz space of continuous functions on  $T$ . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) *For any  $x \in X(T)$  there exists  $e \in X(T)$ , such that  $e(Dx) = \{1\}$ .*
- (b)  *$X(\beta_X T) \subset C_c(\beta_X T)$ .*

**Proof.** Consider  $T' \equiv \beta_X T$  and  $\beta T'$ . Two points  $s, t \in \beta T'$  will be considered

equivalent (identical), iff  $x''(s) = x''(t)$  holds for any  $x'' \in X(\beta T')$ . Let  $T''$  denote the resulting compact space. Consider the subspace  $S \subset T''$  such that  $s \in S$ , if there are  $a'', e_1'', e_2''$  in  $X(T'')$ , for which  $s \in Da''$ ,  $e_1''(Da'') = \{1\}$ ,  $e_2''(De_1'') = \{1\}$ . Then  $T' \subset S$ . The condition (a) implies  $\overline{Dx''} \subset S$  for any  $x'' \in X(T'')$ . It is easy to verify that  $X(T'')|S$  is a completely regular Riesz space on the locally compact space  $S$  and an extension of  $X(T')$ . Since it is impossible to extend over  $\beta_X T$ , therefore  $S = T'$  and  $\overline{Dx''} \subset S = T'$ . Since  $\overline{Dx''}$  is compact in  $T'$ , then  $\overline{Dx'}$  is compact in  $T'$ . Therefore the condition (b) is fulfilled. The implication (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) is obvious.

Call  $X(T)$  a complete Riesz space in respect to the uniform convergence of bounded in  $X(T)$  sequences, iff  $\{x_n\} \subset X(T)$ ,  $y \in X(T)$ ,  $|x_n| \leq y$  and  $|x_n - x_m| \leq (1/n)1$  for any  $m \geq n$  implies that there is  $x \in X(T)$  for which  $|x_n - x| \leq (1/n)1$  for all  $n$ . (1 denotes the unit function on  $T$ ). Using Proposition 2, we prove:

**Theorem 2.** Let  $X(T)$  be a completely regular Riesz space of continuous functions on a Tychonoff space  $T$ . The following conditions are equivalent:

- (a)  $X(T)$  is complete in respect to the uniform convergence of bounded sequences and for any  $x \in X(T)$  there is  $e \in X(T)$  for which  $e(Dx) = \{1\}$ .
- (b)  $X(\beta_X T) = C_c(\beta_X T)$ .

**Proof.** (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). Let  $T' = \beta_X T$  and  $0 \leq y \in C_c(T')$ . Then  $X(T') \subset C_c(T')$  and  $K = \overline{Dy}$  is compact in  $T'$ . Therefore, there exists  $e' \in X(T')$  such that  $e'(t) \leq 1$  for any  $t \in T'$  and  $e'(K) = \{1\}$ . Let us consider the compact

$$K_n = \left\{ t \in T' \mid y(t) \geq \frac{1}{n} \right\} \subset K.$$

There is a finite set of functions  $a'_i$  and  $x'_i$  such that  $K_n \subset \bigcup Da'_i$ ,  $x'_i \leq y$  and  $y(s) - x'_i(s) \leq 2/n$  for any  $s \in Da'_i$ . Let us consider  $x'_n = \sup x'_i \leq y$ . Then  $y(s) - x'_n(s) \leq 2/n$  holds for any  $s \in T'$ . The sequence  $\{x'_n\}$  is fundamental and bounded. Therefore  $y \in X(T')$ .

The implication (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) is checked in a standard way.

### 3. The construction of all locally compact extensions.

We shall say that the completely regular Riesz space  $X(T)$  has subcompact supports, if the condition (a) of Theorem 2 holds in  $X(T)$ .

Using Theorems 1 and 2 we get the main

**Theorem 3.** Let  $T$  be a Tychonoff space. Then:

- (1) For any completely regular Riesz space  $X(T)$  of continuous functions with subcompact supports there exists the only locally compact extension  $\beta_X T$  for which:

- (a)  $\beta_X T$  provides a completely regular extension of  $X(T)$ ;

(b)  $\beta_X T$  is the largest locally compact extension among all the locally compact spaces, providing a completely regular extension of  $X(T)$ ;

(c)  $X(\beta_X T) = C_c(\beta_X T)$ .

(2) For any locally compact extension  $\gamma T$  there exists the completely regular Riesz space  $X(T)$  of continuous functions with subcompact supports, for which  $\beta_X T = \gamma T$ ;

(3) if  $X(T)$  and  $Y(T)$  are completely regular Riesz spaces of continuous functions with subcompact supports, for which  $\beta_X T$  and  $\beta_Y T$  are homeomorphic under the homeomorphism identical on  $T$ , then  $X(T)$  and  $Y(T)$  coincide.

**Proof.** Let  $\gamma T$  be some locally compact extension and  $X(T) = C_c(\gamma T)|_T$ . Then  $X(T)$  is a completely regular Riesz space of continuous functions with subcompact supports. Applying Theorem 1 we get  $T \subset \gamma T \subset \beta_X T$ . One can check that  $X(\gamma T) = C_c(\gamma T)$  implies  $\gamma T = \beta_X T$ .

Let  $h: \beta_Y T \rightarrow \beta_X T$  be a homeomorphism which is the identity on  $T$ . We consider the isomorphism  $k: X(\beta_X T) \rightarrow Y(\beta_Y T)$ , defined as  $kx' = x' \circ h$ . Let  $i: X(T) \rightarrow X(\beta_X T)$  and  $j: Y(\beta_Y T) \rightarrow Y(T)$  be the canonical Riesz isomorphism of extension and retraction. Then  $\alpha = j \circ k \circ i$  is an identical map from  $X(T)$  onto  $Y(T)$ . So Theorem 3 is proved.

**Corollary 1.** If in the statement of Theorem 3  $X(T)$  with a unit function, then all the compact extensions (i.e., compactifications) of  $T$  are obtained.

The construction of all compactifications of a Tychonoff space was originally delivered by Y. M. Smirnov [3] and then (in a different way) by P.S. Alexandroff and V.I. Ponomarev [4].

Also from the Theorem the following characteristic of locally compact space is obtained.

**Corollary 2.** The following statements are equivalent:

(a)  $T$  is locally compact.

(b) There exists a completely regular Riesz space  $X(T)$  of continuous functions on  $T$  with subcompact supports which does not have a completely regular extension to any locally compact extension of  $T$ .

#### 4. The construction of all locally compact paracompact extensions

Call  $\{e_\mu^n \in X(T) \mid \mu \in M, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  a star countable unit family on  $T$ , iff

$$T = \bigcup_{\mu} \left( \bigcup_n De_\mu^n \right), \left( \bigcup_n De_\mu^n \right) \cap \left( \bigcup_n De_\nu^n \right) = \emptyset$$

and  $e_\mu^{n+1}(De_\mu^n) = \{1\}$ . The star-countable unit family  $\{e_\mu^n\}$  is called majorizing iff for any  $x \in X(T)$  there is a finite set of indexes  $\mu_i$ , for which  $(\sup e_{\mu_i}^n)(Dx) = \{1\}$  for some

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $T$  be a Tychonoff space. Then for any completely regular Riesz space  $X(T)$  of continuous functions with subcompact supports and with a star-countable unit family  $\{e_\mu^n \in X(T)\}$ , the space  $\beta(T, X(T), \{e_\mu^n, e_\mu^{n+1}\})$  is a paracompact. Each locally compact paracompact extension of  $T$  is constructed in such a way.*

**Proof.** Let us denote  $T' = \beta_X T$ . Then  $X(T') = C_c(T')$ . It is clear that

$$\bigcup_n D(e_\mu^n)' \cap \left( \bigcup_n D(e_\nu^n)' \right) = \emptyset,$$

where  $(e_\mu^n)'$  is the extension of  $e_\mu^n$  to  $T'$ . The subspace  $S_\mu = \bigcup_n D(e_\mu^n)' = \bigcup_n \overline{D(e_\mu^n)'}$  is a locally compact space, which is a union of a countable set of compacts. Therefore the subspace  $S = \bigcup_\mu S_\mu$  is a paracompact space. One can check that  $S = \beta(T, X(T), \{e_\mu^n, e_\mu^{n+1}\})$ .

Let  $\pi T$  is some locally compact paracompact extension. Applying [5] we get  $\pi T = \bigcup_\mu S_\mu$ , where  $S_\mu$  are open disjoint subsets,  $S_\mu = \bigcup_n U_\mu^n$ ,  $U_\mu^n$  are open subsets,  $\overline{U_\mu^n}$  are compact subsets and  $\overline{U_\mu^n} \subset U_\mu^{n+1}$ . So we get the family  $\{f_\mu^n \in C_c(\pi T) \mid \mu \in M, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , for which  $S_\mu = \bigcup_n Df_\mu^n$  and  $f_\mu^{n+1}(Df_\mu^n) = \{1\}$ . Let us consider a completely regular Riesz space  $X(T) = C_c(\pi T)|_T$  of continuous functions with subcompact supports. Let  $e_\mu^n = f_\mu^n|_T$ . One can check that  $\pi T = \beta(T, X(T), \{e_\mu^n, e_\mu^{n+1}\})$ . So the proposition is proved.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $T$  be a Tychonoff space. Then:*

(1) *For any completely regular Riesz space  $X(T)$  of continuous functions with subcompact supports and with a star-countable majorizing unit family the space  $\beta_X T$  is paracompact.*

(2) *For any locally compact paracompact extension  $\pi T$  there exists the only completely regular Riesz space  $X(T)$  of continuous functions with subcompact support and with star-countable majorizing unit family, for which  $\beta_X T = \pi T$ .*

**Proof.** Proposition 3 implies  $T' = \beta(T, X(T), \{e_\mu^n, e_\mu^{n+1}\})$  is paracompact. One can check that the space  $T'' = \beta_X T$  provides the completely regular extension of functions from  $X(T)$ , preserving the unit family  $\{e_\mu^n, e_\mu^{n+1}\}$ . So Proposition 1 implies  $T \subset T'' \subset T'$ . But according to Theorem 1  $T \subset T' \subset T''$ . Therefore  $T'' = T'$  and  $T''$  is paracompact. Using Proposition 3 the second statement of the theorem is obtained quite easily.

From this theorem the following characteristic of locally compact paracompact space is obtained.

**Corollary.** *The following statements are equivalent:*

(a)  *$T$  is locally compact paracompact.*

(b) *There exists a completely regular Riesz space  $X(T)$  of continuous functions on  $T$  with subcompact supports and with star-countable unit family, which does not have a completely regular continuation to any locally compact paracompact extension of  $T$ .*

## 5. The characterization of the spaces, providing locally compact paracompact approximation

Theorems 3 and 4 imply:

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $T$  be a Tychonoff space. The following statements are equivalent:*

(1) *For any locally compact extension  $\gamma T$  of the space  $T$  there exists the locally compact paracompact extension  $\pi T$  for which  $T \subset \pi T \subset \gamma T$ .*

(2) *In any completely regular Riesz space  $X(T)$  of continuous functions with subcompact supports there is a star-countable unit family.*

**Proof.** (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let us consider any locally compact extension  $\gamma T$  and  $X(T) = C_c(\gamma T)|T$ . Then there is a star-countable unit family  $\{e_\mu^n\} \subset X(T)$ . Proposition 3 implies that the space  $\pi T = \beta(T, X(T), \{e_\mu^n, e_\mu^{n+1}\})$  is a paracompact. Using the proof of Theorem 3 we get  $\beta_X T = \gamma T$ . So according to Theorem 1  $T \subset \pi T \subset \gamma T$ .

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let  $X(T)$  be any completely regular Riesz space of continuous functions with subcompact supports. Let us consider  $\gamma T = \beta_X T$ . By (1) there exists locally compact paracompact space  $\pi T$ , for which  $T \subset \pi T \subset \gamma T$ . Since  $\pi T$  is paracompact, [5] implies  $\pi T = \bigcup_\mu S_\mu$ , where  $S_\mu$  are open and disjoint in  $\pi T$ ,  $S_\mu = \bigcup_n U_\mu^n$ ,  $U_\mu^n$  are open in  $\pi T$ ,  $\overline{U_\mu^n}$  are compact and  $\overline{U_\mu^n} \subset U_\mu^{n+1}$ . Since  $X(\gamma T) = C_c(\gamma T)$  is completely regular, there are  $f_\mu^n \in X(\gamma T)$  such that  $S_\mu = \bigcup_n Df_\mu^n$  and  $f_\mu^{n+1}(Df_\mu^n) = \{1\}$ . Let us denote  $e_\mu^n \equiv f_\mu^n|T$ . Then  $\{e_\mu^n | \mu \in M, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a star-countable family. The theorem is proved.

**Corollary.** *If in a normal space the condition 2 holds, then it is strongly paracompact.*

**Proof.** Let  $\{U_\alpha\}$  be an open cover of  $T$ . Let us consider another cover  $\{V_\beta\}$  which members are finite unions of  $U_\alpha$ . Let us consider the Riesz space  $X(T)$  of all functions  $f \in C_b(T)$  such that  $\overline{Df} \subset V_\beta$  for some  $\beta$ . By (2) there exists a star-countable unit family  $\{e_\mu^n\}$  in  $X(T)$ . Since  $De_\mu^n \subset V_\beta = \bigcup_{i=1}^k U_{\alpha_i}$ , let us consider the cover, consisting of sets of following kind:  $De_\mu^n \cap U_{\alpha_i}$ . This cover is star-countable and a refinement of  $\{U_\alpha\}$ . Therefore  $T$  is strongly paracompact.

## References

- [1] R. Engelking, Outline of General Topology (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1988).
- [2] W.A. Luxemburg and A.C. Zaanen, Riesz Spaces (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1971).
- [3] Yu.M. Smirnov, The proximity spaces, Matem. Sbornik 31 (1952) 5-3-574 (in Russian).
- [4] P.S. Alexandroff and V.I. Ponomarev, On bicompatifications of topological spaces, Akad. Nauk SSSR 121 (4) (1958) 575-578 (in Russian).
- [5] N. Bourbaki, Topologie Générale, Chapitre I (Hermann, Paris, 1961).